Filed: January 26, 2004

Attorney Docket No.: 21819-42CONCON

REMARKS

Claims 2 and 14 are pending in the Application. Claim 1 has been cancelled. Claim 2 has been amended. No new matter has been added. Claim 14 is independent.

On page 2 of the Office Action, Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Dobak, III, et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,758,505. Claim 1 has been cancelled.

On page 3 of the Office Action, Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,682,525. Claim 1 has been cancelled. Applicants herein file a Terminal Disclaimer to obviate such rejection with respect to Claim 2.

On page 3 of the Office Action, Claim 14 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claim 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,383,180. Applicants herein file a Terminal Disclaimer to obviate such rejection

For all of the above reasons, the claim objections are believed to have been overcome placing Claims 2 and 14 in condition for allowance, and reconsideration and allowance thereof is respectfully requested.

Application No. 10/764,661

Filed: January 26, 2004

Attorney Docket No.: 21819-42CONCON

The Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned to discuss any matter that would expedite allowance of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 01, 2007

John Christopher Rog. No.: 37,596

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Christopher & Weisberg, P.A.

200 East Las Olas Boulevard, Suite 2040

Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301

Customer No. 31292

Tel: (954) 828-1488 Fax: (954) 828-9122

72255